

After reading many evaluations and seeing many companies drop BPL experiments as a poor broadband system, I have a hard time understanding why the FCC is so reluctant to drop the program as it is today. I live in an area where there is no choice for internet providers (the one I have have, Quest Dialup, has a maximum connect speed of 26.4K or sattellite at \$100 a month) yet I still believe BPL is not the way to go. It has been proven on every system BPL has been installed on, to be a large source of interference to other forms of communication, including many licensed services. If the FCC would spend as much time and resources on the land line phone service billing charges, that is, surcharges connect fees and "just because we can" fees, we wouldn't be paying double the costs of the basic phone charge. The FCC was supposed to be a commission put in place to regulate communication rules and standards for the harmony of all radiated forms of communication, including interference with those forms of communication. The FCC should not be politicaly motivated, as the RF energy and transferring of data knows no political boundries. I therefore encourage you to take a more unbiased approach to the decision making process involving the BPL issues.